DRV

PTO/SB/21 (05-03) Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number** 10/625,267 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date 7/23/2003 **FORM** First Named Inventor Breslin et al. Art Unit (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) 8405 **Examiner Name** Jason L. Savage Attorney Docket Number 28810/04002 Total Number of Pages in This Submission Ĺ **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance communication Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) to Group Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group X Petition Amendment/Reply (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information After Final Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Terminal Disclaimer Extension of Time Request Identify below): Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Remarks Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Return receipt postcard Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Customer Number Warren M. Haines IL (Reg. No. 40,632) 24024 Individual name Signature

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Typed or printed name Joyce Ford Signature Date P/R3/2005

Date

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

24024

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 

Thereby certify that this document is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313, on this Jan day of Section Let., 2005.

Jose Ford

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**PATENT** 

Appl. No.

10/625,267

Confirmation No. 8405

Applicant

Breslin et al.

Filed

7/23/2003

Title

IMPROVED CERAMIC/METAL MATERIAL AND METHOD

FOR MAKING SAME

TC/A.U.

1775

Examiner

Jason L. Savage

Docket No.

28810/04002

Customer No. :

24024

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## REPLY

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated August 25, 2005, Applicants elect to pursue in this application claims 1–23 and 38–41, identified as Invention I, with traverse.

Appl. No. 10/625,267

Amdt. Dated September 23, 2005

Reply to Office Action of Aug. 25, 2005

The Office Action asserts that Inventions I and II are distinct, but related as process of

making and product made. However, "[t]here are two criteria for a proper requirement for a

restriction between patentably distinct inventions (A) The inventions must be independent; and

(B) There must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is required." MPEP §

803, citations omitted, emphasis added. Thus there are two requirements for restriction:

independence or distinctness and a serious burden. Both are required. Section 803 states that

"[i]f the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the

Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or

distinct inventions." Applicants respectfully submit that restriction is not proper in this case

because search and examination can be made of the entire application without serious burden.

Thus, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of and withdrawal of the restriction

requirement.

It is believed that there is no fee associated with the filing and consideration of this

response. Should the Commissioner decide that any fee is due, the Commissioner is hereby

authorized to charge any and all fees incurred as a result of this response to deposit

account number 03-0172.

Date: 9/23/05

Varren M. Haines II (Reg. No. 40,632)

Customer No. 24024

Respectfully submitted,

Page 2 of 2